

1 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913
2 ASHOK RAMANI - #200020
KHARI J. TILLERY - #215669
3 710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
4 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188
5

Attorneys for Third-Party Google Inc.

6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11

12 VISTO CORPORATION,
13

Plaintiff,
14

v.
15

RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED, et al.,
16

Defendant.
17

Case No. 3:08-mc-80031-JSW

Court of Original Jurisdiction
U.S. Dist. Ct.
E. Dist. Texas
Marshall Division
Case No. 2-06-CV-181-TJW

PROOF OF SERVICE

Date: May 7, 2008
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Judge: Magistrate Judge Larson
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Keker & Van Nest, LLP, 710 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111.

On April 23, 2008, I served the following document(s):

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE A PORTION OF DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL;

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE A PORTION OF DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL;

MANUAL FILING NOTIFICATION REGARDING THIRD PARTY GOOGLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL;

THIRD PARTY GOOGLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL [**CONFIDENTIAL – FILED UNDER SEAL**];

THIRD PARTY GOOGLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL [**REDACTED VERSION**];

DECLARATION OF EDMOND CHOI IN SUPPORT OF THIRD PARTY GOOGLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER;

DECLARATION OF KHARI J. TILLERY IN SUPPORT OF THIRD PARTY GOOGLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL;

PROOF OF SERVICE

by **FEDERAL EXPRESS**, by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below. I am readily familiar with the practice of Keker & Van Nest, LLP for correspondence for delivery by FedEx Corporation. According to that practice, items are retrieved daily by a FedEx Corporation employee for overnight delivery, and

Geoffrey L. Smith
McKool Smith
300 West 6th Street, Ste. 1700
Austin, TX 78701
TEL: (512) 692-8728
FAX: (512) 692-8744
gsmith@mckoolsmith.com

Tiffany P. Cunningham
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
200 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, IL 60601-6636
TEL: (312) 861-2251
FAX: (312) 861-2200
tcunningham@kirkland.com

1 Charles M. Kagay, Esq.
2 Spiegel Liao & Kagay LLP
3 388 Market Street, Suite 900
4 San Francisco, CA 94111
5 TEL: (415) 956-5959
6 FAX: (415) 362-1431
7 cmk@slksf.com

8 Executed on April 23, 2008, at San Francisco, California.

9 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above
10 is true and correct.

11 
12 _____
13 DIANE BLAIS MILLER
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28